AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)

United States of America

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	v. Jesus Jose Garcia-Orozco	Case No. 1:16-mj-00339-ESC
	Defendant	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail lefendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I	- Findings of Fact
(1)		ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of se that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
		§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	which the prison term is 10 years or more.	
	an offense for which the maximum sentenc	•
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	n of ten years or more is prescribed in: .*
	a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 state or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim	it involves:
		arm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .S.C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	ne date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable preparation or the community. I further find that defend	esumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another adant has not rebutted that presumption.
	Altern	ative Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendence	dant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten yea Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ce and the safety of the community.
,		ative Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	
(2)		anger the safety of another person or the community.
		of the Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted a a preponderance of the evidence that:	at the detention hearing establishes by <a></a> clear and convincing
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to	
	ndant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be ndant may bring the issue of his continuing detention	pe released in any case. on to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
	,	-

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	December 28, 2016	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	